

REMARKS:

In the April 26, 2007, Final Office Action, the Examiner indicated that claims 6-8 and 11 were directed to allowable subject matter. Claim 6 previously depended from any one of claims 1-3. Applicants have amended claim 2 to recite the features previously recited in claim 1 from which claim 2 depended (and, accordingly, cancelled claim 1) as well as the features previously recited in claim 6 (and, accordingly, cancelled claim 6). Thus, claim 2 now presents independent form allowable claim 6 as it depended from claim 2. All other claims are amended as necessary to depend either directly or indirectly from claim 2. As a result, claims 2-5, 7-12, and 19 should be allowable, and timely Notice to that effect is respectfully requested.


The undersigned representative requests any extension of time that may be deemed necessary to further the prosecution of this application.

The undersigned representative authorizes the Commissioner to charge any additional fees under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 or 1.17 that may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 14-1437, referencing Attorney Docket No.: 0173.0054.PCUS00.

In order to facilitate the resolution of any issues or questions presented by this paper, the Examiner may directly contact the undersigned by phone to further the discussion.

Novak Druce + Quigg LLP
1000 Louisiana, Fifty-Third Floor
Houston, Texas 77002
(713) 571-3400
(713) 456-2836 (fax)
tracy.druce@novakdruce.com

Respectfully submitted,



Tracy W. Druce, Esq.
Reg. No. 35,493